EAST CHICAGO WATERWAY MANAGEMENT
DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MEETING
City of East Chicago, Engineering/Annex Building
4444 Railroad Ave.
East Chicago, Indiana
October 15, 2014
Wednesday, 5:00 P.M.

Transcript of the proceedings had in the above-entitled matter, on the 15th day of October, 2014, at 5:00 p.m., at the City of East Chicago, Engineering/Annex Building, 4444 Railroad Avenue, East Chicago, Indiana, before Dawn M. Iseminger, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public for the County of Porter, State of Indiana.

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	MR. JOHN FEKETE, President
4	MR. KEVIN DOYLE, Vice President
5	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ, Secretary
6	MR. RAY LOPEZ, Treasurer/Financial Officer
7	MR. JOHN BAKOTA, Board Member
8	MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ, Board Member
9	MR. FERNANDO TREVINO, FMT Consulting
10	MS. ELLEN GREGORY, East Chicago Waterway Board of
11	Directors' Attorney
12	
13	Also Present:
14	JIM WESCOTT, Tetra Tech
15	TIM ANDERSON, CliftonLarsonAllen
16	NATALIE MILLS, USACE
17	TOM BARNETT, ArcellorMittal
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MR. FEKETE: 1 I'd like to call to order the 2 October meeting of the East Chicago Waterway 3 Management Board of Directors. The time is 5:05 4 Very good. First order of business is the call to 5 order. John Bakota? 6 MR. BAKOTA: Here. 7 MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle? 8 MR. DOYLE: Here. 9 MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez? 10 MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Here. 11 MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriguez? 12 MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Here. 13 MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez? 14 MR. LOPEZ: Here. 15 MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, here. Ted Zagar? 16 (No response.) 17 MR. FEKETE: We have a quorum. The 18 consulting engineering report. Mr. Trevino. 19 MR. TREVINO: Yes. Fernando Trevino, with 20 FMT Consulting. I'd like to start off by going 21 over the contents of your packet. You have the 22 September 17, 2014, board meeting minutes for 23 approval consideration. You have the financial 24 statements that are dated September 30, 2014, from 25 CliftonLarsonAllen. You have the claim docket,

dated October 15, 2014, also on the agenda for approval. You have the 2015 proposed budget, and that's on the agenda for approval consideration. You have the agreement for professional services for court reporter between The District and Iseminger & Associates, Inc. And, again, that's on your agenda for approval consideration.

You have First Merchant's Bank bank statements for September 2014 for accounts ending in 608 and 616. You have the BMO Harris E.C.I. facilities trust report dated October 14, 2014. You have the finance committee meeting agenda dated October 14, 2014. You have a user fee summary for some options to consider for 2015.

You have the BMO Harris brokerage account statement for the month of September 2014 accounting ending in 534; and another BMO Harris bank statement report also for the month of September 2014 account ending in 761. You have a spending summary for F.M.T Consulting for 2014 budget, and that's through September -- year-to-date through September of 2014.

You have a letter from Mr. Tim Anderson

: |

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dated October 2nd regarding the budget increase request.

You have a letter from -- or an e-mail from Mr. Jim Wescott of Tetra Tech regarding forecast spending in 2014 and 2015. You have an e-mail from Attorney Ellen Gregory regarding 2015 rate options for board consideration. You have a package dated 2015, Legal Budget, dated October 13, 2014, which details the budget line items for legal work anticipated in 2015; and those totals are on the 2015 budget summary that's on the agenda for approval. You have a letter dated October 13, 2014, from Attorney Ellen Gregory. You have a letter -- or the progress report from Tetra Tech on the Great Lakes Legacy Act, and it's for the month of September 2014, dated October 8, 2014

You have a flyer and other affiliated releases regarding the city's collaboration with Ball State University regarding a study, and I'll go into that in a little more detail in a second. You have the Army Corps of Engineer report to the budget -- I mean, to the board, dated October 15th, 2014; and Attorney Ellen Gregory's report to the board, dated

October 15, 2014.

A couple items to cover. At the last meeting, I believe the board approved an Explorer Pipeline license agreement; and since then it has been executed by Explorer Pipeline, and so we have the agreement here ready for countersignature by Board President John Fekete, and myself, to attest it.

As mentioned, there was a finance committee that was held yesterday. The agenda was in your packet. The attendance included Mr. John Fekete, Mr. Lopez, and the newest member to the committee, Kevin Doyle. A couple of the main items covered included the review of the 2014 budget spending, including the review of my own 2014 budget line item for FMT Consulting. And we also reviewed the proposed 2015 budget, which is recommended on today's board agenda.

Also on the agenda is an agreement with Iseminger & Associates for court reporter services. Just as a brief history, we've been using Iseminger since, I believe, November of last year, and I think our experience with Iseminger has been very good, and we are

^ 4

recommending that we enter into a contract with Iseminger & Associates; so that's on the agenda for approval consideration.

Also, I met with board members, Ted Zagar and Mr. Kevin Doyle, for a crash course, slash, orientation on the district. The Great Lakes Legacy Act project, the bathymetry report is pretty close to being done, I believe, and we will let Jim Wescott give us an update on that.

Mr. Jim Wescott and I met with the city's wastewater department to discuss Tetra Tech's testing of various sewer outfalls. We continue to work on access agreements for key areas of the canal and river. There's ongoing discussion on a community stakeholder engagement process. Tetra Tech's project report is in your packet for additional information. And as I mentioned, Mr. Wescott is on the agenda for a further update on the project.

Miss Natalie Mills, of the Corps, will give an update on the progress from the Corps. And Attorney Ellen Gregory will give us an update on legal matters.

Some of the regular meetings I've been

4 5

participating included the BP bi-weekly meetings; the Great Lakes Legacy Act project team meetings; the Roxanna Marsh area development meetings with EPA, city, and other stakeholders; the dredging project meetings; and the canal tech review committee.

A couple of announcements. I mentioned that the -- and I'll hand out some flyers to those in attendance. If you can pass those out.

(Flyers are circulated.)

MR. TREVINO: The city of East Chicago, in collaboration with Ball State University, will be conducting a study regarding their particular neighborhoods. The study will show how physical barriers that have divided the communities include Indiana ship canal and the Grand Cal' River and railroad lines and other major thoroughfares can be converted into connectors. The study will provide new and creative economic and recreational development opportunities, and it will be held October 23rd from 5:30 to 7:30 at three of the city community centers: Roxanna, King Center, and Heritage Hall.

Another announcement. The Hoosier

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Environmental Council and Healing Our Waters, Great Lakes Coalition, and Save the Dunes will be hosting a Grand Calumet River remediation and restoration site visit for congressional staffers; and that will be held this Friday, October 17th, from 10:00 a.m. to noon, and the meeting place is the Knights of Columbus on Indianapolis.

We also, on the agenda, have a presentation from Mr. Kris Krouse, the executive director of Shirley Heinze Land Trust. I met Mr. Krouse at the Strong Cities community meeting that was held in Gary at the Genesis Center that was approved by the board for my attendance. So, in the spirit of continuing to look for opportunities for potential future uses of the canal and river, I thought there might be some overlap here in the possibility of capturing some synergies, and, you know, you never know if those opportunities exist. And the more we talk to some of the agencies that are doing work along the rivers and canals, I think we can increase our opportunities to work together. So Mr. Kris Krouse is here, and he is on the agenda.

Also, as a reminder to the board, the

9

1	F.M.T performance evaluation is due this month.
2	And if you didn't bring it with you, I have
3	extra copies here. That concludes my report.
4	MR. FEKETE: Any questions for Mr. Trevino?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much. Next order
7	of business is the approval consideration of the
8	board meeting minutes for September 17, 2014.
9	MR. LOPEZ: Motion to accept.
10	MR. BAKOTA: Second.
11	MR. FEKETE: I have a motion and a second.
12	All in favor, signify by saying aye.
13	(All signify aye.)
14	MR. FEKETE: Any opposition?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. FEKETE: Hearing none, the minutes are
17	approved.
18	The accounting consultant report,
19	Mr. Anderson.
20	TIM ANDERSON: Tim Anderson from
21	CliftonLarsonAllen. You guys all have a copy of
22	the September 30th accounting report?
23	MR. TREVINO: Yes.
24	TIM ANDERSON: Page 2, this is the first page
25	with numbers on it (indicating). This is for the
	10

1 nine months ended September 30th. We have cash 2 receipts in our two checking accounts of \$625,000. 3 And we've had disbursements, as you can see them 4 itemized, \$398,000. So we've increased our cash 5 \$227,000 from January through September 30th. 6 user fees, the trust money, less our budgeted 7 expenses. 8 The cash balance at January 1st was 9 \$1.6 million. And the cash balance at 10 September 30th is \$1.9 million. We have two

\$1.6 million. And the cash balance at
September 30th is \$1.9 million. We have two
operate -- or we have two checking accounts:
An operating account and the user fee account.
And the balances are listed at the bottom of
Page 2.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 3 just shows the monthly activity in those two accounts: The interest earned and the cash disbursements that we write each month. And those two balances will reconcile back to that -- to the balances on Page 2.

Page 4 is really the important page, and I -- how does it show up on your -- is it landscaped?

MR. TREVINO: Yeah, it's landscaped.

MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Same.

TIM ANDERSON: So you've got to turn it?

Everybody turn your screens. The first column is the budget that was passed; that's the \$1.1 million. We get to add to that our payables from last year, and that arrives at a total appropriation. So the total appropriation column is what we've got to spend for the year. The cash disbursements January through September, you can see, is \$398,000. And the checks that you have to approve today on this claim voucher are 41.

So our available budget is \$745,312 at the end of September -- or actually after you write these checks today, the balance is 745. And that available budget ties back into our operating checking. So we keep enough in the operating checking to cover our budget.

Do you guys have any questions about how that works?

MR. FEKETE: Just a brief note here. It seems like a large amount of money that's available, but the big hitter is the Great Lakes Legacy Act project.

TIM ANDERSON: Right.

MR. FEKETE: Just to call that to your attention.

TIM ANDERSON: And we don't lose it if we

ECWMD October 15, 2014

1	don't spend it. It just gets rolled into next
2	year. Okay, that's my report. Thank you.
3	MR. LOPEZ: Thank you. That was good.
4	MR. FEKETE: The accounts payable voucher
5	has everyone had a chance to look at it? I will
6	entertain a motion to approve the accounts payable
7	voucher dated October 15, 2014.
8	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to approve.
9	MR. FEKETE: Do I hear a second?
10	MR. DOYLE: Second.
11	MR. FEKETE: I'll take a roll call vote.
12	John Bakota?
13	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
14	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?
15	MR. DOYLE: Yes.
16	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
17	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
18	MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriguez?
19	MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
20	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
21	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
22	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes. Ted
23	Zagar?
24	(No response.)
25	MR. FEKETE: The motion has been approved
	13

The next item of business is the consideration of 1 2 the 2015 budget. 3 MR. TREVINO: Yes. Mr. President, you have 4 in your packet the proposed 2015 budget. As 5 mentioned during my report, this proposed budget was reviewed by the finance committee yesterday, 6 7 and it's pretty consistent with the 2014 budget; 8 and it's recommended for approval. 9 MR. FEKETE: Are there any questions? 10 (No response). 11 MR. FEKETE: Hearing none, I'll entertain a 12 motion to approve the 2015 budget as presented. 13 MR. LOPEZ: Motion to approve. 14 MR. FEKETE: Is there a second? 15 MR. DOYLE: Second. 16 MR. FEKETE: We have a second. Roll call 17 John Bakota? vote. 18 MR. BAKOTA: Yes. 19 MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle? 20 MR. DOYLE: Yes. 21 MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez? 22 MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 23 MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriguez? 2.4 MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 25 MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?

MR. LOPEZ: 1 Yes. 2 MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes 3 Zagar? 4 (No response.) 5 MR. FEKETE: Motion to approve the 2015 6 budget has been approved. 7 The next order of business is the 8 consideration of the court reporter contract. 9 MR. TREVINO: Yes. Again, as mentioned in my 10 report, just as a brief history, when I arrived, we were using Mary Slafendorf up until, like, the 11 12 summer of last year, and we were looking for a 13 qualified court reporter to take her place. And 14 since then, we've been using -- almost 15 exclusively -- Iseminger & Associates, and they've 16 done a good job responding to our requests and 17 needs, and the recommendation is to approve. 18 Any questions or comments? MR. FEKETE: 19 (No response). 20 I would like to just add my MR. FEKETE: 21 approval to the comments that Mr. Trevino just articulated. I want to thank you very much for 22 23 the work you've been doing. 24 THE REPORTER: Than you. 25 MR. FEKETE: Entertain a motion to approve 15

1	the contract as presented.	
2	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to accept.	
3	MR. DOYLE: Second.	
4	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion and a second.	
5	Roll call vote. John Bakota?	
6	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.	
7	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?	
8	MR. DOYLE: Yes.	
9	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?	
10	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Yes.	
11	MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriguez?	
12	MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Yes.	
13	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?	
14	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.	
15	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes. Ted	!
16	Zagar?	
17	(No response.)	
18	MR. FEKETE: The consideration of the court	
19	reporter contract has been approved.	
20	The next order of business is the	
21	presentation by Mr. Krouse of the Shirley	
22	Heinze Land Trust.	
23	MR. KROUSE: Kris, with a "K", K-r-i-s,	
24	Krousé. Well, can I stand? My name is Kris	
25	Krouse. I have been the executive director of	
		16

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Shirley Heinze Land Trust since 2005. Originally, from Fort Wayne, Indiana. Graduated from St. Joseph College. Ended up consulting for a couple of years. Then I got an MBA from IUN and took the post at Shirley Heinze in 2005.

For those of you that aren't familiar with Shirley Heinze, it was once an environmental fund and is now Shirley Heinze Land Trust. was founded in memory of a wonderful woman that lived in Ogden Dunes. She passed away of lymphoma at the young age of 54, and a few of her friends, Robert and Betty Lou Seidner -that name will come up again in a little bit, but they decided to memorialize her to create a land trust for Northwest Indiana. organization was -- you know, \$30,000 was a substantial gift; particularly, in the 1970s, but it's not enough to go hire a bunch of staff and buy a bunch of land, which is kind of what land trusts do. So the organization was pretty much voluntary all the way through the '80s, and then in the mid '90s; and then we ended up getting a nice chunk of Berkshire Hathaway stocks in the mid '90s, and that perpetuated the organization a little bit and allowed us to

actively acquire some of the land holdings we have today; and it also allowed us to hire staff.

So we had a full-time executive director in the mid '90s. That evolved into getting some substantial grants from U.S. Fish & Wildlife for land acquisition and restoration throughout Northwest Indiana; particularly the southern Lake Michigan coastal region, which is the north half of Lake and Porter County, and the northwest corner of LaPorte County.

So we leveraged a lot of dollars from the original donation. We worked to develop a fund raising program. We gradually added staff.

You fast forward to 2014. Fernando said -- I should back up. Fernando said I could talk for 45 minutes, but I'll try to keep it to 15. So, thank you.

Today, 2014, we have approximately 1400 acres that we own and actively manage. If you look at the map here, it highlights our major project areas. We like to say we have 16 project areas in Northwest Indiana, one of which is here in East Chicago on the Grand Calumet River, named our Seidner Dune and Swale

24

25

Nature Preserve. Just to give you a little background on that preserve, it was permanently protected in the late '90s in honor of the Seidner family that founded Shirley Heinze Land Trust, and we have been actively working to restore and maintain that as ecologically -- it is ecologically significant, globally rare dune and swale habitat. It is immediately adjacent to property you're also probably familiar with that The Nature Conservancy manages, DuPont's property, and also Beemsterboer, that they own outright. We have worked in partnership with The Nature Conservancy and also DNR's division It is a state-dedicated of nature preserves. nature preserve. That's when the state comes in and says, This is such an ecologically significant place, the state is going to put a permanent conservation easement on the property. So no matter what happens to Shirley Heinze, or any other organization that owns a state nature preserve, that ecological resource will always be protected. And over the years, we have secured grant moneys to actively maintain -- or restore and maintain Seidner So that's, I guess, probably what has the most

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

relevance to all of you in the room. There is a few other experts in the room that could probably talk in a little more detail about the remediation project. And, obviously, I think, you guys are pretty familiar with what's going on out there. But we've partnered with DNR and We're in tune with what's going on. have some responsibility down the road in terms of managing the wetlands that are a part of the remediation project. So we look forward to upholding our end of the bargain, so to speak, and ensuring that the remediation and, particularly, the remediation that occurs within the wetlands on our property is maintained in perpetuity.

So the land acquisition piece: 1400 acres, 16 project areas. And what makes us kind of unique as a land trust, when you think of the land trust movement throughout the country, there's about 1700 land trusts in the United States, and 700 of those aren't staffed. And then narrowing it down further, there's about 20 active land trusts in the State of Indiana, and we are right there at the top of the heap in terms of land trust in the State of

Indiana when it comes to staff and operating 1 2 budget; although, we have the smallest 3 geographic area. And I think that has some 4 relevance in terms of what the ecological 5 resource is here, and what we do as a land trust that's a little different than other land 6 7 trusts in the country; and that is, we actively 8 manage the property that we own. We don't just 9 take the property and hold it in perpetuity. 10 We have people, boots on the ground, that are 11 removing invasive species, planting native 12 plants, and trying to bring back the ecology 13 that was once here pre-settlement times. 14 that's something we really feel, as an 15 organization, we can hang our hat on. It is 16 very similar to The Nature Conservancy's model. 17 So if you're familiar with The Nature 18 Conservancy and what they do globally, we're 19 doing that in a local level right here in 20 Northwest Indiana and throughout Northwest 21 Indiana. 22

A couple of years ago we had a lot of discussion at the board level, and we said, you know, there's a gap here. You know, it's great that we're preserving this land, it's awesome

23

24

25

that we're restoring it, but a lot of people don't know who we are or what we're doing. So we got involved with this Mighty Acorns program. It's some curriculum that was developed by The Nature Conservancy, the Field Museum in Chicago, and really was implemented in Chicago Public Schools with the intent of getting 4th, 5th, and 6th graders out into nature so that they could have, you know, that experiential learning, going out on a field trip, and really get -- not just an ecology background, but have opportunities to do observation and see science in action outdoors.

So we got involved with that program in 2010 in the School City of Hobart. And fast forwarding to today, in 2014, we're partnering with the Field Museum. ArcelorMittal is a big partner, the Dunes Learning Center, and Shirley Heinze Land Trust. This school year, we'll end up serving 4,000 kids. That's relevant to all of you here in this room in East Chicago because three of the elementary schools in East Chicago are participating in that program this year. And this is kids that actually get to go out on a field trip in the fall, the winter,

and the spring, and learn about what is an invasive plant? What is a native plant? You know, why should I care about ecology? And it's really exciting for us to be involved in implementing that program in East Chicago. And all the kids are going to Arcelor's research facility to learn and participate in this program. So those are — that's another kind of relevant point; and hopefully, overtime, we'll be able to get that program instituted in more schools throughout the city.

So the other thing that would probably be relevant and of interest to all of you is that -- and it's not going to happen today -- but at some point, and one day, we aspire to not just get Seidner and that whole dune and swale complex open to the community as a resource where people can passively recreate -- when I say "passive," I mean, you know, it's not going to be an ATV road course or a place where people can go shoot guns, but a place people can walk, do birding, botanizing, and, in a way, exercise by getting outdoors and seeing the beautiful ecosystem. So our hope, as a segue to the remediation project, is have

24

25

more public access on the site, along the Grand Calumet River, between Cline and Kennedy, and then one day, hopefully, and I don't want to get too far ahead of myself, or ourselves as whole, but it would be great to see paddling on the river one day. And I think that because of your commitment to remediating a river that was contaminated for many, many years, the opportunity is becoming more and more of a reality that one day that might occur. So I -it is great that you guys are all supportive of the remediation activities that are occurring, and we're hopeful that you'll check out our website and learn about the good work Shirley Heinze Land Trust is doing throughout Northwest Indiana. Come join us for -- we have a great hike program, and we're always doing things throughout Northwest Indiana. It's not just --I like to think of Northwest Indiana as a region, as a whole. I know sometimes with all the municipal complexities of the region, we have a lot of municipalities, that we get a little siloed sometimes, but it's pretty exciting. And I think being a regional land trust, there's a lot of opportunity for us to,

you know, bring people outdoors and get people

From East Chicago to go to Michigan City or Valparaiso, and vice versa, to explore nature and really recreate on properties that are open to the public and free. We don't charge anything for people to come out to our properties. So I urge all of you to put it on your agenda to go visit the 16 project areas that you see on your map. And if you ever have any questions, I'd be happy to answer them by e-mail. And, I guess, I can also open it up for questions.

MR. FEKETE: I have one question. I know from time to time that you do have scheduled hikes.

MR. KROUSE: Yeah.

MR. FEKETE: And could you just keep Fernando in the loop on when those occur?

MR. KROUSE: Yes, most definitely.

MR. FEKETE: I get them through the IDEM contact, but everybody should get the opportunity to take a look at that.

MR. KROUSE: I should have passed out -- I don't like to be too forward in meetings like this, but if anyone at all would like to be added

to our mailing list -- you know, we accept donations. And when you look at our pie chart, over half of the income we generate annually comes from individual and corporate contributors. The rest is grants, and we do have an investment portfolio that generates some income. But you can go on our website and participate in the hike program and receive our literature for free. That being said, I hope all of you send me an e-mail asking to be put on the mailing list.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Kris, you have a website?

MR. KROUSE: We do.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Do you update the website and say there's a hike Tuesday?

THE WITNESS: By all means, yes. And as we developed it, if you would have asked me that five years ago, I would have ran back to the office to try and update the website, but actually Barb Labis, Paul Labis's husband [sic], she's our --

MS. GREGORY: Wife.

MR. KROUSE: -- communications coordinator. She has really raised the bar for us internally in terms of keeping our website fresh and updated, and our branding is a lot better than what it was

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a couple years ago because of her. So that's an exciting development, as well, within the organization.

MR. BAKOTA: What's the access point for that Seidner Dune and Swale?

MR. KROUSE: It would be the service road off And it's not something that we've promoted, and we're not promoting it at all right now because of the remediation and dredging activities. But our hope -- and we were in dialogue with Resco, the refractory right there, about them maybe helping us install some type of parking lot or put a parking lot there at the corner in front of their facility. There also may be an opportunity, I hope, to maybe do some type of parking along the roadway, maybe into the preserve a little ways, but that still has to be ironed out with The Nature Conservancy, EPA, and IDEM, in terms of what we might be able to do, because if you go back there right now, the road has been expanded to get the large earth moving vehicles back there. So my hope, I don't know for sure, but maybe we could create a small parking lot báck there. Now, getting á bus full of kids along that service road, that's a little different

topic of conversation and probably something we would like to do out in that open field there, if we could work something out with Resco. So nothing is a done deal at this point, but we're pretty committed to trying to promote and figure out how to have more public access there in the future, and really the remediation project was a step in the right direction for us to be able to do that.

MR. TREVINO: I want to thank Mr. Krause for coming tonight. We tried to schedule it a couple times, and we had to reschedule it, and I know he is busy.

MR. KROUSE: Yeah.

MR. TREVINO: And you mentioned, you know, you guys write grants, and that's one of our kind of components that we've been exploring. So sometimes grants are more successful when you have partners. So if there's a grant that may have overlap to what the district is interested in, you know, we might consider partnering on something that has overlap with the East Chicago Waterway; so keep that in mind.

MR. KROUSE: Yeah. And on that subject, there's a Chi/Cal River Fund, and there's several

foundations from Chicago; and ArcelorMittal is also a big supporter financially of that program. And there was funding secured to do additional restoration on that Cline Kennedy branch of the Grand Cal; so that's pretty exciting. And there's some outreach tied into that that I'll make sure you're apprized of and kept informed of.

MR. TREVINO: I appreciate it. And some of the work you're doing is consistent with our mission statement to advance economic and recreational development of the waterways. Thanks again for coming tonight.

MR. KROUSE: Thanks for having me. And I did bring some news letters. We appreciate the opportunity to tell you about what we do.

MR. BAKOTA: I might add, this year has been an extraordinary year with regard to all of the fall colors, for people interested in walking around and looking at how it looks. Especially, with the moisture we're getting.

MR. TREVINO: Thanks, Kris.

MR. KROUSE: You're welcome.

MR. FEKETE: The next order of business is the Great Lakes Legacy Act project update report.
Mr. Wescott.

25

MR. WESCOTT: Hello, again. We haven't done too much as it relates to the East Chicago funded part of the project. Most of the effort in the last month has been on the federal funded component. We plan to start the fieldwork for the sampling the week of October 27th, and then we'll probably start with the Grand Calumet River east and west branch the week of the 27th, and then jump to the Indiana Harbor Canal and the Lake George Canal the week after that. We'll get a lot of sampling done. We'll install some wells within the river. And then there will still need to be some additional sampling that I hope to get most of it done by the end of the calendar year. of the other work is the upland sampling, which includes taking some soil samples on the south tank farm, which I have to coordinate with ARCADIS and BP, because of the ongoing work that they plan there. As then as I had mentioned in the past, trying to get access to the Buckeye terminal That's where the east and west branch come I continue to talk to different people, together. and I think I'm closer, but the person I was tálking tó last wéék was in Califórnia. hopefully we -- she is confident we'll get

approval. I just haven't gotten approval. So there's some work that I haven't quite scheduled because I don't want to schedule things and then not get the site access. So the Corps of Engineers and EPA are funding most of the fieldwork, and that'll start the week of the 27th.

The bathymetry, I've gotten most of the data from the surveyor. He hasn't finished a final report, but that should be in your hands by the next meeting. But most of the data I'm getting from him is really just electronically computer design files. There's not going to be, like, a book. But there will be a summary report with photos and some other data that I'll use, and, obviously — and I can share the computer files with Fernando, too. I don't know how you archive them or share them with the engineer department here, but obviously it's information that you and the City of East Chicago can potentially use for other projects.

MR. FEKETE: Are there any questions for Mr. Wescott?

(No response.)

MR. LOPEZ: Thánk you fór a good report.

MR. FEKETE: There was a question raised

earlier in our discussions with regard to the Lake George branch.

MR. WESCOTT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FEKETE: Are you taking water samples at all?

MR. WESCOTT: So we are -- one of the aspects of this project that the federal partner is funding is to collect some water samples from outfalls within the project area just to identify any potential unknown sources of contamination that the city -- or that they just don't know about. And that one of the drivers for that was on the previous section that included Kris's marsh that goes from Kennedy to Cline, there was an unknown source of contamination right at Cline Avenue that we identified at the beginning of our project that now, for this new section, they want us to kind of do the same thing -- like proactively go out and make sure that we're not cleaning it up and there's a sewer that nobody knows about that's dumping oil into the river. we've identified a few outfalls. We're not going to try to sample every one of them, but just to try to get a snapshot of what's there. So wè're going to work with the East Chicago Waterway

Management -- Sanitary District, and look at an outfall on the west branch, and then a few on the Indiana Harbor Canal, and then one that is sort of in Hammond. It's right at Calumet Avenue. That doesn't necessarily drain BP but it drains -- there's a drainage ditch that comes around right next to Calumet Avenue, and we just want to see if there's anything coming in; and, so, that one would be the Lake George Canal.

MR. BAKOTA: That Lake George branch, you know, I go there quite often and look at it, and it looks like there's a source that's supplying some sort of contamination. There's an oil slick on the waterway after they stopped dredging, you know.

MR. WESCOTT: There are some separate sampling efforts -- mainly associated with the south tank farm area -- that I believe there's something coming out. But from my perspective, that's already sort of a known issue, the south tank farm.

MR. BAKOTA: But then again the wells there haven't been picking up lately. I don't know if you have a report -- the last report we had -- it hasn't been picking up that much contaminants

1 within the wells.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WESCOTT: Right.

MR. BAKOTA: I mean, disregarding that it would be coming from groundwater from the south side, if the wells aren't picking up. I mean, I don't know.

MR. WESCOTT: I mean, I can talk to Fernando There are so many entities that are looking at sort of that part of the project area, that it -- and from my perspective, I've only got so much money, right, to look at the whole project area; and I know there is a lot of money focused on the south tank farm and areas of the Lake George Canal -- particularly, east of that land bridge -- that it doesn't make sense for me to kind of do -- take some more samples when there's already EPA, and BP, and a lot of the other entities sampling already that's sort of -everybody can see the sheen from Indianapolis Boulevard. And I'm sure somebody will figure it out.

The main thing for this project and for me, is that as we get into the design, to make sure we're not spending money to fix something that is just going to get re-contaminated down

1 the road. I mean, that's really the crux. 2 I just need to work with Fernando, and we have 3 these multiple working groups through EPA, and BP is a participant, just to make sure that all the efforts trying to address issues on Lake 6 George Canal are sort of working collaboratively, rather than me going in one direction and others going in another direction, so that, ideally, when we get to the point when we're going to do something in that part of the project area, that it's actually going to do some long lasting benefit. MR. BAKOTA: Yeah.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WESCOTT: But, so we are going to take one sample on Lake George, but it's sort of outside that south tank farm area.

I mean, if there's a source MR. BAKOTA: there that is supplying pollution, it should be addressed. I'm not saying that you should address it.

MR. WESCOTT: Right.

I mean, if we're doing -- it's a MR. BAKOTA: navigational dredge, but there's a source -something. Whether it's leaching from the land or there's a line there or something.

Like the dredging is stopped; so

1

MR. WESCOTT: Correct.

MR. BAKOTA:

2

3

4

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, from dredging that would cause it. MR. WESCOTT: Right.

I don't see much disturbance within the -- you

MR. FEKETE: It was my understanding, I think based on some conversations that we've had with Scott Ireland, is that basically you can't really be using Great Lakes Legacy Act to continue a project if there's a known source of contamination or ongoing source of contamination. Is that correct?

MR. WESCOTT: That's -- and EPA can probably talk to that better than I can. I think it's EPA's perspective that there is already a corrective plan in place for, like, the south tank And so we would continue to move forward on farm. addressing what we can do to clean up the Lake George canal, as long as it's working in tandem with the other efforts that are already in place. If it looks like, for whatever reason, there's a break down among all the parties and it isn't going to get addressed -- you know, the EPA béliévés that there's an issue and other entities don't believe there's an issue, that EPA probably,

36

through the Great Lakes Legacy Act, wouldn't want to commit more money to that part of the project area. You know, they might do something with the landlock portion, if they can show it is separate and we can kind of cross that one off. But that section that's right where the CDF is, is kind of the worst place really. I mean, it is just a mess, and I don't really have an answer for you. It's just we'll try to do as much as we can to move it along to, like, get it down the football field, but I don't know if we're going to score a touch down, kick a field goal. I don't know where we're at.

MR. BAKOTA: They say it's affecting wildlife, you know, they said they've seen ducks with oil. Well, that's one of the sections there, you know, that is heavily polluted.

MR. WESCOTT: Right.

MR. BAKOTA: And there is wildlife that goes in that area. And, I mean, I know this is going to be an affect on wildlife within the dredging thing, but it seems like they're just ignoring that source.

MR. WESCOTT: Are there any other questions?
MR. LOPEZ: That was great. Thank you.

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Go ahead. You know what, it is just that I'm listening to you, and I'm watching your body language, and it seems to me there's an underlying something that you're not really saying. Is there an issue or isn't there an issue with that area?

MR. WESCOTT: Well, I think the issue there are -- I know that there's -- that the district is working with BP and BP's consultants to look at the south tank farm, right? And so -- and I'm not in the middle of that, and so it's hard for me -so I'm sort of one level removed from really having good information to give the district. mean, I can talk about the Great Lakes Legacy Act project, but I can't really talk specifics about the work that's going on at the south tank farm. Although, I know that there are issues associated with the south tank farm and its impact on the canal, I don't know specifically the investigation that ARCADIS is going to do and the conversations that BP and the district has had.

MR. TREVINO: It's a complex scenario. There's a lot of moving parts. You know, the south tank farm is kind of under a consent decree. The Great Lakes Legacy is -- the way I understand

it, is you can't spend Great Lakes Legacy Act money or get credit for something that's on a consent decree. I think I remember hearing that.

I think that's the case. So there's a lot of complexities affiliated with that branch.

MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Wouldn't it be conducive to his best interest and our best interest that there's some information sharing?

MR. WESCOTT: Well, there is, to an extent. And I missed -- we have a monthly call, which I missed. So I don't know what they put out. And Fernando has some additional calls with EPA on a monthly basis.

MR. TREVINO: Yeah. And one of the things we've been exploring is to see if BP would be interested in expediting efforts in the Great Lakes Legacy Act, and we've been, you know, basically looking under every rock to see how we could expedite the investigation and remediation efforts in that area, but, you know, there's a lot of, you know, as I mentioned, complexities affiliated with moving forward over there.

MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.

MR. FEKETE: Thank you. Army Corps of Engineers' report, Natalie mills.

MS. MILLS: Good evening. I'm Natalie Mills, with the Army Corps of Engineers. The facility and dredging operations contract: The contractors shut down. They'll return to the site in the spring of 2015. That's our schedule right now:

The permanent well installation: We awarded a contract on the 30th of September to install some additional wells for the gradient control system; so we need the additional wells to aid in the drawdown of the groundwater. We anticipate that they'll start work some time in the spring of 2015, but we haven't received their proposed schedule yet.

CDF documentation: As far as the TSCA permit, we're still waiting to hear back on a public meeting. We recently sent an e-mail asking on the status, but we haven't heard anything back on the status of that permit.

Oil boom coordination: I don't have anything to update at this time.

PCA and non-federal funding: I did give a reminder to Kim that we're still waiting on some -- a response to you all's question on, like, a re-opener of the LCA, if necessary. So she hasn't had an opportunity to take that back

1 up to headquarters yet, is the last that I got. 2 The Feddeler parcel: I think the last 3 thing we're waiting to hear back is are you guys going to hire the appraiser? 4 5 MS. GREGORY: We're actually waiting for a 6 response from Kim on the e-mail that we sent last 7 week. 8 MR. TREVINO: Yeah. There were a couple 9 questions that we had regarding how the PCA would 10 be interpreted in this particular instance. 11 MS. GREGORY: I just left a voice mail for 12 Kim today. 13 MS. MILLS: Was I included in the e-mail? 14 MS. GREGORY: Yes. 15 MS. MILLS: Okay. I'll check and see if I 16 have a response. I'll follow up with her 17 tomorrow. 18 MR. TREVINO: We just needed some clarity on 19 what the cost, where the expenses would come for 20 certain work under the PCA. 21 MS. MILLS: Okay. The parkway improvement: 22 Work is scheduled to start the week of the 27th of 23 October. 24 MR. BAKOTA: Thank you. 25 MS. MILLS: Yes, and I'm going to remain

engaged with the people that are supposed to do the work just to ensure that they keep to that schedule.

MR. BAKOTA: When they complete, I'll have a case of champagne.

MS. MILLS: I'll be there for that. And one other thing to note, we're continuing to work with the East Chicago Sanitary District. Like I reported previously, we're going to be sending some of the water from the pond to the sanitary district for treatment. So we're still trying to work out some of the details. Nothing has been finalized yet. We haven't received any approval, but just to let you guys know that that -- we did submit a permit request.

MR. BAKOTA: Has that been done before?

MS. MILLS: No. Previously, we had the wastewater treatment plant on site. But this version is -- the costs is more -- the cost is more beneficial.

MR. BAKOTA: Uh-huh.

MS. MILLS: So when we hear anything more, I'll update the board.

MR. BAKOTA: Question: Has Congress appropriated money for the next year's dredging

1	yet?
2	MS. MILLS: We haven't received the money
3	yet, nope.
4	MR. BAKOTA: They haven't appropriated an
5	amount? Is there a requested amount?
6	MS. MILLS: We've heard an amount but we're
7	not permitted to discuss.
8	MR. BAKOTA: Okay.
9	MR. TREVINO: Fair enough.
10	MS. MILLS: Yeah.
11	MR. FEKETE: Any other questions for
12	Miss Mills?
13	(No response.)
14	MS. MILLS: All right. Thank you.
15	MR. TREVINO: Thanks for your help with the
16	Indy Boulevard Parkway.
17	MS. MILLS: Oh, no, thank you. Sorry for the
18	longevity.
19	MR. FEKETE: Next order of business is our
20	attorney's report Ellen.
21	MS. GREGORY: Ellen Gregory, with Ellen
22	Gregory Law. The first thing I want to report on
23	is the status of the consent decree, slash, AOC,
24	which is the administrative order consent, which
25	is basically the agreement between RP and FPA

regarding the south parcel. So the consent decree is basically with Department of Justice and BP. They had a document finalized, and I think actually even maybe signed by BP, and then EPA wanted to go back and change some of the language regarding the work that they're doing on the south parcel, which was discussed earlier. So the consent decree is still with BP. And the AOC, I believe, is also still with BP. And then once DOJ and BP get a draft together, it will be circulated around to the whole group.

Again, on the PCA, as Natalie mentioned, we're waiting to hear back from The Corps on some questions that we had about revising the PPA.

The Feddeler issue: Just to kind of clarify, we're trying to clarify with The Corps -- both with the real estate attorney and with Kim Szabo, who does the environmental aspects -- how the work, going forward, in negotiating with the Feddeler's attorney on their claim of property interest on the CDF, kind of clarify who's going to be doing what and how the credits are going to be assigned for if the district spends money on the

1 negotiations.

2.

The user fees: We have just one appeal that's kind of lingering. We're going to pull in the attorney general's office to help us with it. It's basically a company that was assessed user fees paid through 2013 and then voluntarily dissolved the company. So we have to figure out through the AG's office how to handle that.

On the Great Lakes Legacy, as was mentioned earlier, we're working on putting together some access agreements for property that Tetra Tech needs to do the work going forward.

And then finally on the real estate issues: We are waiting to hear back from BP on when they can sit down to have either a phone conference or a sit-down meeting regarding the easements on the ECWMD properties and possibly transmitting some of those parcels.

That's all I have. Any questions?

MR. FEKETE: Any questions?

(No response.)

MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much.

MS. GREGORY: Okay.

ECWMD October 15, 2014

1	MR. FEKETE: Any new business to come before
2	the board?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. FEKETE: Any other business, old or
5	otherwise?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. FEKETE: Any public comments?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. FEKETE: Our next board meeting will be
10	November 19, 2014, at 5:00 in this location. I
11	will now entertain a motion for adjournment.
12	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to adjourn:
13	MR. FEKETE: Second?
14	MR. LOPEZ: Second.
15	MR. FEKETE: All in favor signify by saying
16	aye.
17	(All signify aye.)
18	- ADJOURNED -
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	46

1 CERTIFICATE

I, Dawn M. Iseminger, Registered Professional Reporter (RPR), and Notary Public within and for the County of Porter, State of Indiana, do hereby certify that I appeared at The City of East Chicago, Engineering/Annex Building, 4444 Railroad Avenue, East Chicago, Indiana, on the 15th day of October, 2014, to report the proceedings had of the District Board of Directors' Meeting.

I further certify that I then and there reported in machine shorthand the proceedings given at said time and place, and that the testimony was then reduced to typewriting from my original shorthand notes, and the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of said proceedings had.

Dated this 15th day of October, 2014.

Dawn M. Iseminger, Ret

My Commission expires: 7/22/2017